

## News in openSUSE Packaging (15.10.2020)

Posted: 2020-10-13 12:24:16 +0000.

Written by: [Vítězslav Čížek](#), [Kristýna Streitová](#)

If you are interested in openSUSE, sooner or later you will probably learn how packages and specfiles work. But packaging is not static knowledge that you learn once and are good to go. The rules change over time, new macros are created and old ones are erased from history, new file paths are used and the old ones are forgotten. So how can one keep up with these changes?

In this article, we will serve you with all recent news and important changes in openSUSE packaging on a silver platter. Whether you are a pro package maintainer or just a casual packager who wants to catch up, you will definitely find something you didn't know here. We promise.

### Table of contents

- [openSUSE macros](#)
  - [%\\_libexecdir](#)
  - [systemd macros](#)
  - [Cross-distribution macros](#)
  - [Deprecated macros](#)
    - [Database/cache updating macros](#)
    - [%make\\_jobs](#)
- [Paths and Tags](#)
  - [Configuration files in /etc and /usr/etc](#)
  - [Group: tag](#)
- [News in RPM](#)
  - [File Triggers](#)
  - [%autopatch and %autosetup](#)
  - [%patchlist and %sourcelist](#)
  - [%elif](#)
  - [Boolean dependencies](#)
  - [%license](#)
- [OBS](#)
  - [New osc options](#)
    - [osc maintained -version](#)
    - [osc request -incoming](#)
    - [osc browse](#)
    - [Delete requests for entire projects](#)
    - [Real names in changelogs](#)
    - [rdiff and diff enhancements](#)
    - [osc blame](#)
    - [osc comment](#)
    - [Examining workers and constraints](#)
      - [osc checkconstraints](#)
      - [osc workerinfo](#)
  - [Multibuild](#)
- [Oldies](#)
  - [PreReq → Requires\(pre\)](#)
  - [/var/run → /run](#)
  - [/usr Merge](#)
  - [SysV is dead](#)
- [Automatic tools for cleaning](#)
  - [spec-cleaner](#)
  - [rpmlint](#)
- [Acknowledgment](#)

## openSUSE macros

### %\_libexecdir

---

#### TL;DR

- %\_libexecdir macro expands to /usr/libexec now (not /usr/lib)

---

We will start with the most recent change, which is the %\_libexecdir macro. In the past, it was a standard practice to store binaries that are not intended to be executed directly by users or shell scripts in the /usr/lib directory. This has been changed with a release of FHS 3.0 that now [defines](#) that applications should store these internal binaries in the /usr/libexec directory.

In openSUSE, the first discussions about changing the %\_libexecdir macro from /usr/lib to /usr/libexec appeared in fall 2019 but it took several months for all affected packages to be fixed and the change to be adopted. It was fully [merged](#) in TW 0825 in August 2020.

Please note, openSUSE Leap distributions, including upcoming Leap 15.3, still expand %\_libexecdir to the old /usr/lib.

### systemd macros

---

#### TL;DR

- Use %{?systemd\_ordering} instead of %{?systemd\_requires}
- Use pkgconfig(libsystemd) instead of pkgconfig(systemd-devel)
- BuildRequires: systemd-rpm-macros is not needed

---

In the past, you've been told that if your package uses systemd, you should just add the following lines to your spec file and you are good to go:

```
BuildRequires: systemd-rpm-macros
%{?systemd_requires}
```

Times are changing, though, and modern times require a bit of a different approach, especially if you want your package to be ready for inclusion inside a container. To explain it, we need to know what the %{?systemd\_requires} macro looks like:

```
$ rpm --eval %{?systemd_requires}
```

```
Requires(pre): systemd
Requires(post): systemd
Requires(preun): systemd
Requires(postun): systemd
```

# openSUSE

This creates a hard dependency on systemd. In the case of containers, this can be counterproductive as we don't want to force systemd to be included when it's not needed. That's why the `%{?systemd_ordering}` macro started being used instead:

```
$ rpm --eval %{?systemd_ordering}

OrderWithRequires(post): systemd
OrderWithRequires(preun): systemd
OrderWithRequires(postun): systemd
```

`OrderWithRequires` is similar to the `Requires` tag but it doesn't generate actual dependencies. It just supplies ordering hints for calculating the transaction order, but only if the package is present in the same transaction. In the case of `systemd` it means that if you need `systemd` to be installed early in the transaction (e.g. creating an installation), this will ensure that it's ordered early.

Unless you need to explicitly call the `systemctl` command from the specfile (which you probably don't because of the `%service_*` macros that can deal with it), you shouldn't use `%{?systemd_requires}` anymore.

Also note, that `systemd-rpm-macros` has been required by the `rpm` package for some time, so it's not necessary to explicitly require it. You can safely omit it unless you are afraid that `rpm` will drop it in the future, which is highly unlikely.

The last is the `BuildRequires`, this is needed in cases where your package needs to link against `systemd` libraries. In this case, you should use:

```
BuildRequires: pkgconfig(libsystemd)
```

instead of the older

```
BuildRequires: pkgconfig(systemd-devel)
```

as the new variant can help to shorten the build chain in OBS.

## Cross-distribution macros

---

### TL;DR

- `%leap_version` macro is deprecated

# openSUSE

- See this [table](#) for all distribution macros and their values for specific distros

---

Commonly, you want to build your package for multiple target distributions. But if you want to support both bleeding-edge Tumbleweed and Leap or SLE, you need to adjust your specfile accordingly. That is why you need to know the distribution version macros.

The best source of information is the [table](#) on the openSUSE wiki that will show you the values of these distribution macros for every SLE/openSUSE version. If you want examples on how to identify a specific distro, see this [table](#).

The biggest change between Leap 42 (SLE-12) and Leap 15 (SLE-15) is that %leap\_version macro is deprecated. If you want to address e.g. openSUSE Leap 15.2, you should use:

```
%if 0%{?sle_version} == 150200 && 0%{?is_opensuse}
```

As you can see, to distinguish specific Leap minor versions, the %sle\_version macro is used. The value of %sle\_version is %nil in Tumbleweed as it's not based on SLE.

If you want to identify SLE-15-SP2, you just negate the %is\_opensuse macro:

```
%if 0%{?sle_version} == 150200 && !0%{?is_opensuse}
```

The current Tumbleweed release (which is changing, obviously) can be identified via:

```
%if 0%{?suse_version} > 1500
```

In general, if you want to show the value of these macros on your system, you can do it via rpm --eval macro:

```
$ rpm --eval %suse_version  
1550
```

## Deprecated macros

---

### TL;DR

These macros are deprecated

- %install\_info / %install\_info\_delete

Seite 4 / 21

© 2026 Eric Schirra <webmaster@schirra.net> | 2026-06-20 11:27

URL: <https://faq.schirra.net/phpMyFAQ/content/3/102/de/news-in-opensuse-packaging-15102020.html>

# openSUSE

- %desktop\_database\_post / %desktop\_database\_postun
- %icon\_theme\_cache\_post / %icon\_theme\_cache\_postun
- %glib2\_gsettings\_schema
- %make\_jobs (is now known as %cmake\_build or %make\_build)

---

If you have been interested in packaging for some time, you probably learned a lot of macros. The bad thing is that some of them shouldn't be used anymore. In this section, we will cover the most common of them.

## Database/cache updating macros

The biggest group of deprecated macros is probably those that called commands for updating databases and caches when new files appeared in specific directory:

- %install\_info / %install\_info\_delete
  - update info/dir entries
- %desktop\_database\_post / %desktop\_database\_postun
  - update desktop database cache when .desktop files is added/removed to/from /usr/share/applications
- %icon\_theme\_cache\_post / %icon\_theme\_cache\_postun
  - update the icon cache when icon is added to /usr/share/icons
- %glib2\_gsettings\_schema
  - compile schemas installed to /usr/share/glib-2.0/schemas

For example, in the past whenever you installed a new .desktop file in your package, you should have called:

```
%post
%desktop_database_post
```

```
%postun
%desktop_database_postun
```

Since 2017, these macros have started being [replaced](#) with file triggers, which is a new feature of RPM 4.13. See [File triggers](#) section for more info.

## %make\_jobs

The %make\_jobs macro was initially used in cmake packaging, but was later adopted in a number of other packages, confusingly sometimes with a slightly different definition. To make matters more confusing it also ended up being more complex than the expected /usr/bin/make -jX. Because of this and to bring the macro more inline with other macros such as meson's, %make\_jobs has been replaced with %cmake\_build when using cmake and %make\_build for all other usages.

In the past, you called: %cmake, %make\_jobs, and %cmake\_install.

Now it's more coherent and you call: %cmake, %cmake\_build, and %cmake\_install when using cmake and just replace %make\_jobs with %make\_build in other cases.

For completeness, we will add that the naming is also nicely aligned with the meson and automake macros, that are:

```
%meson, %meson_build, and %meson_install
```

or

%configure, %make\_build, and %make\_install.

The %make\_jobs macro is still provided by KDE Framework kf5-filesystem package and is used by about 250 Factory packages, but its use is being phased out.

## Paths and Tags

### Configuration files in /etc and /usr/etc

---

#### TL;DR

- /usr/etc will be the new directory for the distribution provided configuration files
- /etc directory will contain configuration files changed by an administrator

---

Historically, configuration files were always installed in the /etc directory. Then if you edited this configuration file and updated the package, you often ended up with .rpmsave or .rpmnew extra files that you had to solve manually.

Due to this suboptimal situation and mainly because of the need to fulfill new requirements of [transactional updates](#) (atomic updates), the handling of configuration files had to be changed.

[The new solution](#) is to separate distribution provided configuration (/usr/etc) that is not modifiable and host-specific configuration changed by admins (/etc).

This change of course requires a lot of work. First, the applications per se need to be adjusted to read the configuration from multiple locations rather than just good old /etc and there are of course a lot of packaging changes needed as well. There are [3 variants](#) of how to implement the change within packaging and you as a packager should choose one that fits the best for your package.

Also, there is a new RPM macro that refers to the /usr/etc location:

```
%_distconfdir /usr/etc
```

## Group: tag

---

#### TL;DR

- Group: tag is optional now

---

Maybe you noticed a wild discussion about removing Group: tag that hit the opensuse-factory mailing list in Fall 2019. It aroused emotions to such an extent that the openSUSE Board had to step in and helped to resolve this conflict.

They decided that including groups in spec files should be optional with the final decision resting with the maintainer.

## News in RPM

# openSUSE

RPM minor version updates are released approximately once every two years and they always bring lots of interesting news that will make packaging even easier. Sometimes it's a little harder to put some of these changes into practice as it can mean a lot of work or hundreds of packages or dealing with backward compatibility issues. This is why you should find more information about their current adoption status in openSUSE before you use new features in your packages.

Current SUSE and openSUSE status of rpm package is as follows:

Distribution	RPM version
openSUSE:Factory	4.15.1
SLE-15 / openSUSE:Leap:15.*	4.14.1
SLE-12	4.11.2

The following paragraphs present a couple of the most interesting features introduced in recent RPM versions.

## File Triggers

---

### TL;DR

- File trigger is a scriptlet that gets executed whenever a package installs/removes a file in a specific location
- Used e.g. in Factory for texinfo, glib schemas, mime, icons, and desktop files, so your package doesn't have to call database/cache updating macros anymore

---

RPM 4.13 introduced file triggers, rpm scriptlets that get executed whenever a package installs or removes a file in a specific location (and also if a package with the trigger gets installed/removed).

The main advantage of this concept is that a single package introduces a file trigger and it is then automatically applied to all newly installed/reinstalled packages. So, instead of each package carrying a macro for certain post-processing, the code resides in the package implementing the file trigger and is transparently run everywhere.

The trigger types are:

- filetrigger{in, un, postun}
- transfiletrigger{in, un, postun}

The \*in/\*un/\*postun scriptlets are executed similarly to regular rpm scriptlets, before package installation/uninstallation/after uninstillation, depending on the variant.

The trans\* variants get executed once per transaction, after all the packages with files matching the trigger get processed.

**Example** (Factory shared-mime-info):

```
%filetriggerin -- %{_datadir}/mime
```

```
export PKGSYSTEM_ENABLE_FSYNC=0
%{_bindir}/update-mime-database "%{_datadir}/mime"
```

This file trigger will update the mime database right after the installation of a package that contains

# openSUSE

a file under `/usr/share/mime`. The file trigger will be executed once for each package (no matter how many files in the package match).

File triggers can easily replace database/cache updating macros (like e.g. `%icon_theme_cache_post`). This approach has been [used in Factory since 2017](#). File triggers are used for processing icons, mime and desktop files, glib schemas, and others.

You probably haven't noticed this change at all, as in general having these database/cache updating macros in your specfile doesn't harm anything now. The change has been made in corresponding packages (`texinfo`, `shared-mime-info`, `desktop-file-utils`, `glib2`) by adding a file trigger while all these old macros are now expanded to command without action. So you can safely remove them from your specfiles.

## %autopatch and %autosetup

---

### TL;DR

- Use `%autopatch` to automatically apply all patches in the spec file
- Use `%autosetup` to automatically run `%setup` and `%autopatch`

---

The old and classic way to apply patches was:

```
Patch1:      openssl-1.1.0-no-html.patch
Patch2:      openssl-truststore.patch
Patch3:      openssl-pkgconfig.patch
```

```
%prep
%setup -q
%patch1 -p1
%patch2 -p1
%patch3 -p1
```

With the recent RPM, you can use `%autosetup` and `%autopatch` macros to automate source unpacking and patch application. There is no need to specify each patch by name.

`%autopatch` applies all patches from the spec. The disadvantage is that it's not natively usable with conditional patches or patches with differing fuzz levels.

**Example** (Factory `openssl-1_1.spec`):

```
Patch1:      openssl-1.1.0-no-html.patch
Patch2:      openssl-truststore.patch
Patch3:      openssl-pkgconfig.patch
```

```
%prep
%setup -q
%autopatch -p1
```

# openSUSE

The `-p` option controls the patch level passed to the patch program.

The most powerful is the `%autosetup` macro that combines `%setup` and `%autopatch` so that it can unpack the tarball and apply the patchset in one command.

`%autosetup` accepts virtually the same arguments as `%setup` except for:

- `-v` for verbose source unpacking, the quiet mode is the default, so `-q` is not applicable
- `-N` disables automatic patch application. The patches can be later applied manually using `%patch` or with `%autopatch`. It comes in handy in cases where some kind of preprocessing is needed on the upstream sources before applying the patches.
- `-S` specifies a VCS to use in the build directory. Supported are for example `git`, `hg`, or `quilt`. The default is `patch`, where the patches are simply applied in the directory using `patch`. Setting `git` will create a git repository within the build directory with each patch represented as a git commit, which can be useful e.g. for bisecting the patches

So the simplest patch application using `%autosetup` will look like this.

**Example** (Factory `openssl-1_1`):

```
Patch1:    openssl-1.1.0-no-html.patch
Patch2:    openssl-truststore.patch
Patch3:    openssl-pkgconfig.patch
```

```
%prep
%autosetup -p1
```

## %patchlist and %sourcelist

---

### TL;DR

- Use `%patchlist` section directive for marking a plain list of patches
- Use `%sourcelist` section directive for marking a plain list of sources
- Then use `%autosetup` instead of `%setup` and `%patch<number>`

---

These are new spec file sections for declaring patches and sources with minimal boilerplate. They're intended to be used in conjunction with `%autopatch` or `%autosetup`.

**Example** - normal way (Factory `openssl-1_1`):

```
Source:    https://www.#{_rname}.org/source/#{_rname}-#{version}.tar.gz
Source2:   baselibs.conf
Source3:   https://www.#{_rname}.org/source/#{_rname}-#{version}.tar.gz.asc
Source4:   #{_rname}.keyring
Source5:   showciphers.c
```

```
Patch1:    openssl-1.1.0-no-html.patch
Patch2:    openssl-truststore.patch
Patch3:    openssl-pkgconfig.patch
```

```
%prep
%autosetup -p1
```

# openSUSE

The files need to be tagged with numbers, so adding a patch in the middle of a series requires renumbering all the consecutive tags.

**Example** - with %sourcelist/%patchlist:

```
%sourcelist
https://www.%{_rname}.org/source/%{_rname}-%{version}.tar.gz
baselibs.conf
https://www.%{_rname}.org/source/%{_rname}-%{version}.tar.gz.asc
%{_rname}.keyring
showciphers.c

%patchlist
openssl-1.1.0-no-html.patch
openssl-truststore.patch
openssl-pkgconfig.patch

%prep
%autosetup -p1
```

Here the source files don't need any tagging. The patches are then applied by %autopatch in the same order as listed in the section. The disadvantage is that it's not possible to refer to the sources by %SOURCE macros or to apply the patches conditionally.

## %elif

---

### TL;DR

- RPM now supports %elif, %elifos and %elifarch

---

After 22 years of development, RPM 4.15 finally implemented %elif. It's now possible to simplify conditions which were only possible with another %if and %else pair.

**Example** Using %if and %else only (Java:packages/ant):

```
%if %{with junit}

%description
This package contains optional JUnit tasks for Apache Ant.

%else
  %if %{with junit5}

%description
This package contains optional JUnit5 tasks for Apache Ant.

%else
```

# openSUSE

```
%description
Apache Ant is a Java-based build tool.

%endif
%endif
```

**Example** Using %elif:

```
%if %{with junit}

%description
This package contains optional JUnit tasks for Apache Ant.

%elif %{with junit5}

%description
This package contains optional JUnit5 tasks for Apache Ant.

%else

%description
Apache Ant is a Java-based build tool.

%endif
```

The else if versions were implemented also for %ifos (%elifos) and %ifarch (%elifarch).

## Boolean dependencies

---

### TL;DR

- Factory now supports boolean dependency operators that allow rich dependencies
- Example: Requires: (sles-release or openSUSE-release)

---

RPM 4.13 introduced support for boolean dependencies (also called “rich dependencies”). These expressions are usable in all dependency tags except Provides. This includes Requires, Recommends, Suggests, Supplements, Enhances, and Conflicts. Boolean expressions are always enclosed with parentheses. The dependency string can contain package names, comparison, and version description.

How does it help? It greatly simplifies conditional dependencies.

### Practical example:

Your package needs either of two packages pack1 or pack2 to work. Until recently, there wasn't an elegant way to express this kind of dependency in RPM.

# openSUSE

The idiomatic way was to introduce a new capability, which both pack1 and pack2 would provide, and which can then be required from your package.

Both pack1 and pack2 packages would need adding:

Provides: pack-capability

And your package would require this capability:

Requires: pack-capability

So in order to require one of a set of packages, you had to modify each of them to introduce the new capability. That was a lot of extra effort and might not have always been possible.

Nowadays, using boolean dependencies, you can just simply add

Requires: (pack1 or pack2)

to your package and everything will work as expected, no need to touch any other package.

The following boolean operators were introduced in RPM 4.13. Any set of available packages can match the requirements.

- and
  - all operands must be met
  - Conflicts: (pack1 >= 1.1 and pack2)
- or
  - one of the operands must be met
  - Requires: (sles-release or openSUSE-release)
  - The package requires (at least one of) sles-release, openSUSE-release
- if
  - the first operand must be met if the second is fulfilled
  - Requires: (grub2-snapper-plugin if snapper)
- if-else
  - same as if above, plus requires the third operand to be met if the second one isn't fulfilled
  - Requires: (subpack1 if pack1 else pack2)

RPM 4.14 added operators that work on single packages. Unlike the operators above, there must be a single package that fulfills all the operands

- with

# openSUSE

- similar to and, both conditions need to be met
- BuildRequires: (python3-prometheus\_client >= 0.4.0 with python3-prometheus\_client &lt; 0.9.0)
- The python3-prometheus\_client must be in the range <0.4.0, 0.9.0)
- without
  - the first operand needs to be met, the second must not
  - Conflicts: (python2 without python2\_split\_startup)
- unless
  - the first operand must be met if the second is not
  - Conflicts: (pack1 unless pack2)
- unless-else
  - same as unless above, plus requires the third operand to be met if the second isn't fulfilled
  - Conflicts: (pack1 unless pack2 else pack3)

The operands can be nested. They need to be surrounded by parentheses, except for chains of and or or operators.

## Examples:

Recommends: (gdm or lightdm or sddm)

Requires: ((pack1) or (pack2 without func2))

Until recently, Factory only allowed boolean dependencies in Recommends/Suggests (aka soft dependencies), as it would have otherwise caused issues when doing zypper dup from older distros. Now all operators above are supported.

## %license

---

### TL;DR

- Pack license files via %license directive, not %doc

---

A %license directive was added to RPM in [4.11.0](#) (2013) but openSUSE and other distributions adopted it later, in [2016](#). The main reason for it is to allow easy separation of licenses from normal documentation. Before this directive, license texts used to be marked with the %doc directive, that managed copying of the license to the %\_defaultdocdir (/usr/share/doc/packages). With %license, it's nicely separated as is copied to %\_defaultlicensedir (/usr/share/licenses).

That's also useful for limited systems (e.g. containers), which are built without doc files, but still need to ship package licenses for legal reasons.

### Example:

```
%files
%license LICENSE COPYING
%doc NEWS README.SUSE
```

# openSUSE

The license files are annotated in the rpm, which allows a search for the license files of a specific package:

```
$ rpm -qL sudo  
/usr/share/licenses/sudo/LICENSE
```

## OBS

### New osc options

The osc command-line tool received several new features as well. Let's have a quick look at the most interesting changes.

#### osc maintained --version

New --version option prints versions of the maintained package in each codestream, which is very useful e.g. when you want to find out which codestreams are affected by a specific issue. The only problem is that it's not very reliable yet - sometimes it prints just "unknown".

```
$ osc maintained --version sudo  
openSUSE:Leap:15.1:Update/sudo (version: unknown)  
openSUSE:Leap:15.2:Update/sudo (version: 1.8.22)
```

#### osc request --incoming

New --incoming option for request command shows only requests/reviews where the project is the target.

**Example** List all incoming request in the new or review state for Base:System project:

# openSUSE

```
$ osc request list Base:System --incoming -s new,review
```

## osc browse

Sometimes it's just easier to watch the build status or build log in OBS GUI than via osc. With this new option, you can easily open specific packages in your browser. Just run:

```
$ osc browse [PROJECT [PACKAGE]
```

If you run it without any parameters, it will open the package in your current working directory.

## Delete requests for entire projects

This is not something you want to call every day. But if you need to delete the entire project with all packages inside, you can just call:

```
$ osc deletereq PROJECT --all
```

## Real names in changelogs

This is a change you probably noticed. If you create a changelog entry via osc vc, it adds not just your email to the changelog entry header but also your full name.

## rdiff and diff enhancements

Also, the rdiff subcommand comes with new options. Probably the most useful is rdiff --issues-only that instead of printing the whole diff, shows just a list of fixed (mentioned really) issues (bugs, CVEs, Jiras):

**Example** osc rdiff --issues-only:

```
# osc rdiff -c 124 --issues-only openSUSE:Factory/gnutls
CVE-2020-13777
boo#1171565
boo#1172461
boo#1172506
boo#1172663
```

More new options were added for the osc diff command. The first is --unexpand that performs a local

Seite 15 / 21

© 2026 Eric Schirra <webmaster@schirra.net> | 2026-06-20 11:27

URL: <https://faq.schirra.net/phpMyFAQ/content/3/102/de/news-in-opensuse-packaging-15102020.html>

# openSUSE

diff, ignoring linked package sources. The second is `diff --meta` that performs a diff only on meta files.

## osc blame

osc finally comes with a blame command that you probably know from git. It shows who last modified each line of a tracked file.

It uses the same invocation as `osc cat`:

```
$ osc blame <file>
$ osc blame <project> <package> <file>
```

The drawback is that it shows the user who checked in the revision, such as the person who accepted the submission, not its actual author. But it also shows the revision number in the first column, so you can easily show the specific revision with the original author.

### Example:

```
# osc blame openssl-fips-DH_selftest_shared_secret_KAT.patch
[...]
  2 (jsikes      2020-09-17 10:51:27   62) +
  5 (jsikes      2020-09-22 19:07:01   63) +   if ((len = DH_compute_key(shared_
secret, dh->pub_key, dh)) == -1)
  2 (jsikes      2020-09-17 10:51:27   64) +       goto err;
  2 (jsikes      2020-09-17 10:51:27   65) +
[...]
```

Let's say we're interested in line 63, where `DH_compute_key()` is called. It was last changed in revision 5, so we'll examine that revision:

```
> osc log -r 5
-----
r5 | jsikes | 2020-09-22 17:07:01 | 16a582f1397aa14674261a54c74056ce | unknown | rq2
27064
```

```
Fix a porting bug in openssl-fips-DH_selftest_shared_secret_KAT.patch
-----
```

The change was created by request 227064, so we can finally find the author of the actual code:

```
$ osc rq show -b 227064
227064 State:accepted By:jsikes      When:2020-09-22T17:07:07
      submit:
```

# openSUSE

```
From: Request created: vitezslav_cizek -> Request got accepted: jsikes
Descr: Fix a porting bug in openssl-fips-
      DH_selftest_shared_secret_KAT.patch
```

You can also blame the meta files and show the author of each line of the meta file, where it shows the author, as the metadata is edited directly.

```
$ osc meta pkg <project> <package> --blame
```

Please note that it works on project and package metadata but it doesn't work on attributes.

## osc comment

osc allows you to work with comments on projects, packages, and requests from the command line. That's particularly useful for writing bots and other automatic handling.

- osc comment list
  - Prints comments for a project, package, or a request.
- osc comment create
  - Adds a new top-level comment, or using the -p option, a reply to an existing one.
- osc comment delete
  - Removes a comment with the given ID.

## Examining workers and constraints

### osc checkconstraints

When you have a package that has special build constraints, you might be curious about how many OBS workers are able to build it. osc checkconstraints does exactly that.

It can either print the list of matching workers

```
$ osc checkconstraints LibreOffice:Factory libreoffice openSUSE_Tumbleweed x86_64
Worker
-----
x86_64:cloud137:1
x86_64:cloud138:1
x86_64:goat01:1
x86_64:goat01:2
[...]
```

or even a per-repo summary (when called from a package checkout):

```
$ osc checkconstraints
```

# openSUSE

Repository	Arch	Worker
-----	----	-----
openSUSE_Tumbleweed	x86_64	94
openSUSE_Factory_zSystems	s390x	18
[...]		

## osc workerinfo

This command prints out detailed information about the worker's hardware, which can be useful when searching for proper build constraints.

### Example:

```
$ osc workerinfo x86_64:goat01:1
```

It will print lamb51's kernel version, CPU flags, amount of CPUs, and available memory and disk space.

## Multibuild

---

### TL;DR

- Multibuild is an OBS feature that allows you to build the same spec file with different flavors (e.g. once with GUI and then without GUI)

---

multibuild is an OBS feature introduced in [OBS 2.8 \(2017\)](#) that offers the ability to build the same source in the same repo with different flavors. Such a spec file is easier to maintain than separate spec files for each flavor.

The flavors are defined in a `_multibuild` xml file in the package source directory. In addition to the normal package, each of the specified flavors will be built for each repository and architecture.

**Example** of a `_multibuild` file (from Factory python-pbr):

```
<multibuild>
  <package>test</package>
</multibuild>
```

Here OBS will build the regular python-pbr package and additionally the test flavored RPM. Users can then distinguish the different flavors in spec using and perform corresponding actions (adjusting BuildRequires, package names/descriptions, turning on additional build switches, etc.).

Here we can see, that an additional flavor is getting built:

# openSUSE

```
$ osc r -r standard -a x86_64
standard      x86_64  python-pbr          succeeded
standard      x86_64  python-pbr:test    succeeded
```

**Example** of spec file usage (python-pbr again):

```
%global flavor @BUILD_FLAVOR@%{nil}
%if "%{flavor}" == "test"
%define psuffix -test
%bcond_without test
%else
%define psuffix %{nil}
%bcond_with test
%endif
Name:          python-pbr%{psuffix}
```

First, the spec defines a flavor macro as the value it got from OBS. Then it branches the spec depending on the flavor value. It sets a name suffix for the test flavor and defines a build conditional for easier further handling in the build and install sections.

If you need inspiration for your package, you can have a look at the following packages:

python39, libssh, python-pbr, or glibc.

## Oldies

---

### TL;DR

- PreReq is now Requires(pre)
- Use /run, not /var/run
- /bin, /sbin, /lib and /lib64 were merged into their counterpart directories under /usr
- SysV is dead, use systemd

---

We realize that the changes described below are very, very, VERY old. But we put this section here anyway as we are still seeing it in some spec files from time to time. So let's take it quickly.

### PreReq → Requires(pre)

PreReq is not used anymore, it was deprecated and remapped to Requires(pre) in RPM 4.8.0 (2010).

### /var/run → /run

Since openSUSE 12.2 (2012), /run directory was top-leveled as it was agreed across the distributions, that it doesn't belong under /var. It's still symlinked for backward compatibility but you should definitely use /run (%\_rundir macro).

### /usr Merge

# openSUSE

[/usr Merge](#) was a big step in the history of all Linux distributions that helped to improve compatibility with other Unixes/Linuxes, GNU build systems or general upstream development.

In short, it aimed to merge and move content from `/bin`, `/sbin`, `/lib` and `/lib64` into their counterpart directories under `/usr` (and creating backward compatibility symbolic links of course). In openSUSE it happened around 2012.

## SysV is dead

The only excuse for missing the fact that SysV is dead is just that you've been in cryogenic hibernation for the last 10 years. If yes, then it's the year 2020 and since openSUSE 12.3 (2013) we use [systemd](#).

## Automatic tools for cleaning

---

### TL;DR

- Call `spec-cleaner -i mypackage.spec` to clean your specfile according to the openSUSE style guide.
- Call `rpmlint mypackage.rpm` or inspect the `rpmlint` report generated after the OBS build for common packaging errors/warnings.

---

If you read as far as here, you are probably a bit overwhelmed with all these new things in packaging. Maybe you ask yourself how you should remember all of it or more importantly, how you should keep all your maintained packages consistent with all these changes. We have good news for you. There are automated tools for it.

## spec-cleaner

[spec-cleaner](#) is a tool that cleans the RPM spec file according to the style guide. It can put the lines in the right order, transform hardcoded paths with the correct macros, and mainly replace all old macros with new ones. And it can do much more.

It's also very easy to use it, just call

```
$ spec-cleaner -i mypackage.spec
```

for applying all changes inline directly to your spec file.

If you just want to watch the diff of the changes that `spec-cleaner` would make, call:

```
$ spec-cleaner -d mypackage.spec
```

## rpmlint

Another tool that will help you keep your package in a good shape is [rpmlint](#). It checks common errors in RPM packages and specfiles. It can find file duplicates, check that binaries are in the proper

# openSUSE

location, keep an eye on correct libraries, systemd, tmpfiles packaging and much more. Inspecting your package from top to bottom, it reports any error or warning.

rpmlint runs automatically during the OBS build so it can fail the whole build if there are serious problems. It works as a tool for enforcing specific standards in packages built within OBS. If you want to run it on your own, call:

```
$ rpmlint mypackage.rpm
```

Both spec-cleaner and rpmlint implement the new packaging changes and new rules as soon as possible. But it's possible that maintainers may miss something. In that case, feel free to report it as an issue on their github.

## Acknowledgment

Thanks, [Simon Lees](#), [Tomáš Chvátal](#), and [Dominique Leuenberger](#) for suggestions, corrections, and proofreading.

This page was generated by [GitHub Pages](#).

Mehr Informationen unter:

<https://packageninjas.github.io/packaging/2020/10/13/news-in-packaging.html>

Eindeutige ID: #1102

Verfasser: n/a

Letzte Änderung: 2020-10-15 21:19